

### Integrating Relational Planning and Reinforcement Learning for Effective Abstraction



Harsha Kokel



Sriraam Natarajan





Balaraman Ravindran





Arjun Manoharan

HIT MADRAS



Prasad Tadepalli



### Overview

### Goal:

Learning to act in relational domains with varying number of tasks and interacting objects

### Proposed Solution:

Use a (relational) hierarchical planner to provide abstractions for the RL agents



# Toy Example

- Extended Taxi domain
- Planner provides sequence of passenger pickup and drop



# Toy Example

- Extended Taxi domain
- Planner provides sequence of passenger pickup and drop
- Learn driving route



### RePReL

- Plan the sequence of operators at high level and learn to execute each operator at lower level
- Advantage:
  - Compositionality
  - Task specific abstract representations
- Relational MDP which is deterministic and fully observable
- Adapt First Order Conditional Influence statements to specify bisimulation conditions of MDPs for 'safe' abstractions.



**RL AGENTS** 

### Abstraction

First Order Conditional Influence (FOCI) statements

if *condition* then X1 influence X2

**Dynamic FOCI statements** 

$$ext{operator} : X1 \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} X2$$

Natarajan, Tadepalli, Dietterich, and Fern 2008

### Abstraction

	State	$\begin{aligned} &\{at(p1,r),taxi-at(13),dest(p1,y),\negat\text{-}dest(p1),\negin\text{-}taxi(p1),\\ &at(p2,b),dest(p2,g),\negat\text{-}dest(p2),\negin\text{-}taxi(p1)\} \end{aligned}$
Given	subtask	$\langle \; \operatorname{pickup}(P), \{P/p1, L/r\}   angle$
	D-FOCI	$ \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{taxi-at}(\text{L1}), \text{move}(\text{Dir}) \} \xrightarrow{+1} \text{taxi-at}(\text{L2}) \\ \{ \text{taxi-at}(\text{L1}), \text{move}(\text{Dir}) \} \longrightarrow R \\ \text{pickup}(\text{P}): \\ \{ \text{taxi-at}(\text{L1}), \text{at}(\text{P}, \text{L}), \text{in-taxi}(\text{P}) \} \xrightarrow{+1} \text{in-taxi}(\text{P}) \\ \text{pickup}(\text{P}): \text{in-taxi}(\text{P}) \longrightarrow R_{o} \end{array} $
Get	Abstract state	$\{\operatorname{at}(\operatorname{p1},\operatorname{r}),\operatorname{taxi-at}(13),\neg\operatorname{in-taxi}(\operatorname{p1}),\operatorname{move}(\operatorname{Dir})\}$

### Abstraction

Given	State subtask D-FOCI	$ \begin{split} & \{ \operatorname{at}(\operatorname{p1}, \operatorname{r}), \operatorname{taxi-at}(13), \operatorname{dest}(\operatorname{p1}, \operatorname{y}), \neg \operatorname{at-dest}(\operatorname{p1}), \neg \operatorname{in-taxi}(\operatorname{p1}), \\ & \operatorname{at}(\operatorname{p2}, \operatorname{b}), \operatorname{dest}(\operatorname{p2}, \operatorname{g}), \neg \operatorname{at-dest}(\operatorname{p2}), \neg \operatorname{in-taxi}(\operatorname{p1}) \} \\ & \langle \operatorname{pickup}(P), \{ P/p1, L/r \} \rangle \\ & \{ \operatorname{taxi-at}(L1), \operatorname{move}(\operatorname{Dir}) \} \xrightarrow{+1} \operatorname{taxi-at}(L2) \\ & \{ \operatorname{taxi-at}(L1), \operatorname{move}(\operatorname{Dir}) \} \longrightarrow R \\ & \operatorname{pickup}(P): \\ & \{ \operatorname{taxi-at}(L1), \operatorname{at}(P, L), \operatorname{in-taxi}(P) \} \xrightarrow{+1} \operatorname{in-taxi}(P) \\ & \operatorname{pickup}(P): \operatorname{in-taxi}(P) \longrightarrow R_o \end{split} $	Safe model-agnostic abstraction
			(Theorem 1)
Get	Abstract state	$\{\mathrm{at}(\mathrm{p1},\mathrm{r}),\mathrm{taxi-at}(13),\neg\mathrm{in-taxi}(\mathrm{p1}),\mathrm{move}(\mathrm{Dir})\}$	

### **RePReL Learning Algorithm**

- Get high level plan
- For each sub-task
  - Get resp. policy  $\pi$
  - Loop till the sub-task is achieved
    - Get the abstract state *s*
    - Get action *a* from the policy  $\pi$
    - Step in env observe reward  $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$
    - Update the policy  $\pi$

#### \*Q learning

- Evaluate for
  - Sample efficiency
  - Transfer across task
  - Generalization across objects





trl: Taskable RL (Illanes et al. ICAPS 2020)

- Sample efficiency
- Transfer across task
- Generalization across objects









	state	abstract state (pick-up)	
Relational {s}	$\begin{array}{c} at(p1,r), taxi-at(13), dest(p1,y), \neg  at-dest(p1), \neg  in-taxi(p1), \\ at(p2,b), dest(p2,g), \neg  at-dest(p2), \neg  in-taxi(p1) \end{array}\}$	$\{\operatorname{at}(\operatorname{pl}, \operatorname{r}), \operatorname{taxi-at}(13), \neg \operatorname{in-taxi}(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{move}(\operatorname{Dir})\}$	
Vector [s,a]	[at-p1, dest-p1, in-taxi-p1, at-dest-p2, at-p2, dest-p2, in-taxi-p2, at-dest-p2, taxi-at, move ]	[at, taxi-at, in-taxi, move ]	

B	*	*	С
*	<u></u>		*
A	*	*	D

Symbol	Meaning
	Agent
*	Furniture
<u>له</u>	Coffee machine
$\boxtimes$	Mail room
ß	Office
A, B, C, D	Marked locations

#### 

#### Office world



#### Box world

### Craft world

For human-level general intelligence, the ability to detect compositional structure in the domain and form task-specific abstractions are necessary.

# THANKS