

Learning a Symbolic Planning Domain through the Interaction with **Continuous Environments**

Elena Umili*, Emanuele Antonioni*, Francesco Riccio, Roberto Capobianco, Daniele Nardi, Giuseppe De Giacomo Department of Computer Control and Management Engineering Antonio Ruberti (DIAG) of Sapienza University of Rome, Italy.

E-mail {lastname}@diag.uniroma1.it



Introduction

Motivation:

- Predicting environment's **future outcomes** is important to perform dynamic tasks.
- State-of-the-art planners can reason effectively with symbolic representations of the environment. However, when the environment is continuous and unstructured, extracting an ad-hoc symbolic model to perform planning may be infeasible.

Training rewards:

Our **discrete** system achieves **competitive results** in different

Results

Contribution:

- Interaction with symbolic representation learning, and symbolic online planning.
- It autonomously learns a symbolic planning model composed of: (i) a symbol grounding model to switch from continuous to symbolic space and vice versa; (ii) a symbolic transition model; (iii) a value function for symbolic states.
- We exploit the symbolic model to **plan for the agent actions in the** continuous state-space.



OpenAI gym environments when **compared to Q-learning** configured on **continuous** state-spaces.



Grounding and planning:

- **Symbol grounding:** the encoder network maps the continuous state in a propositional symbolic representation. The latter is used by all the other system components.
- **Symbolic planning:** at each step the agent plans the next T steps in the symbolic space using the learned models and executes the first action of the plan in the environment.



- **Code abstraction and generalization:**
- Left) convergence with different sizes of the symbols set: the number of symbols is a crucial hyperparameter to make the system converge.
- Right) Transfer learning: we can use the distance in the symbolic \bullet space to drive the agent to different goals in the same environment.



Conclusions and future works

Learning the models:

- The four neural networks are **trained end-to-end** with samples (state, action, next state, rewards) observed in the environment.
- The symbolic **transition network** is trained minimizing the next state prediction error in the continuous and in the symbolic statespace.

Conclusions:

- This work proposes an interactive learning algorithm inspired by both planning and Reinforcement Learning.
- It automatically learns a symbolic planning domain from a continuous-state MDP.
- We show that reasoning in the symbolic space is enough to effectively guide the agent's action to achieve the task in the continuous environment.

Future work:

- Focus on the **domain re-usability** to eliminate the second training necessary for achieving a new goal.
- Learn an **uncertainty model** for the transitions, in order to use the symbolic model to plan towards little explored states.